

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/602,716 | 06/25/2003 | Chandra Mouli | M4065.0904/P904 | 9955 | | |
| 24998 | 7590 12/14/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | N SHAPIRO MORIN | KANG, DO | KANG, DONGHEE | | | |
| 2101 L Stree | t, NW DC 20037 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| washington, | DC 20037 | | 2811 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | An. | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| *************************************** | | Application I | No. | Applicant(s) | 41/ | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/602,716 | | MOULI, CHANDRA | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Donghee Ka | ng | 2811 | | | | |
| The MAIL Period for Reply | ING DATE of this communication app | pears on the co | ver sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| THE MAILING C - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 form the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, I y within the statutory will apply and will ex e, cause the applicati | however, may a reply be time of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsiv | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this | application is in condition for allowar | nce except for | formal matters, pro- | secution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| closed in a | accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayl | e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Clai | ms | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1</u> | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)☐ Claim(s) _ | is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)☐ Claim(s) _ | is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | -77 are subject to restriction and/or | election requir | ement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | i | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specifi | cation is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawir | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant n | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replaceme | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath o | r declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note | the attached Office | Action or form P | ГО-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U | .S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)□ All b)[1.□ Cer 2.□ Cer 3.□ Cop | gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority document tified copies of the priority document pies of the certified copies of the prio | ts have been r ts have been r ority documents | eceived. eceived in Applications s have been receive | on No | Stage | | | |
| • • | lication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list | | • | d. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of Reference | | 4) | Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | • | | | |
| | rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date | , | Notice of Informal Pa | | O-152) . | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/602,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 09-23-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Figures are interrelated to another and should be prosecuted as part of the same application. This is not found persuasive because 35 U.S.C. 121 quoted in the preceding section states that the Commissioner may require restriction if two or more "independent and distinct" inventions are claimed in one application. In 37 CFR 1.141, the statement is made that two or more "independent and distinct inventions" may not be claimed in one application. If it can be shown that the two or more inventions are in fact independent, applicant should be required to restrict the claims presented to but one of such independent inventions. 35 U.S.C. 121 provides that restriction may be required to one of two or more independent and distinct inventions.

The general principles relating to distinctness or independence may be summarized as follows:

- (A) Where inventions are independent (i.e., no disclosed relation therebetween), restriction to one thereof is ordinarily proper, MPEP § 806.04 § 806.04(i), though a reasonable number of species may be claimed when there is an allowed (novel and unobvious) claim generic thereto. 37 CFR 1.141, MPEP § 809.02 § 809.02(e).
- (B) Where inventions are related as disclosed but are distinct as claimed, restriction may be proper. Embodiments 1-3 are related but are distinct

 The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,716 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

Applicant noted that claims 1-13, 24, 30-41, 49-62 and 70-72 are readable on the elected species (Fig.4). However, independent claims 1, 30, 49, & 52 are not readable. The elected species (Fig.4) does not show the claimed limitation, such as gate region having a work-function greater than a work-function of n+Si, the channel region comprising respective portions below **each gate region**. Figure 4 only has one gate region.

Applicant is request to include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement and a listing of all claims readable thereon

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghee Kang whose telephone number is 571-272-1656. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,716

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donghee Kang, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2811

dhk